

KUMIKO IDE
Partner

☎ 202-822-6686
☎ 202-822-1111
✉ kide@whda.com



Biography

Ms. Ide concentrates her practice in trademark, copyright and unfair competition matters. This includes counseling clients on development of branding strategies, and working closely with clients in clearance, prosecution, maintenance and enforcement of trademarks, including inter partes proceedings before the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board. Ms. Ide also has experience with the recordation of trademark registrations before the U.S. Customs and Border Protection to prevent importation of counterfeit or infringing goods.

Prior to joining WHDA, Ms. Ide worked as a legal intern in Boston, assisting with trademark and copyright litigation matters, with particular focus on anti-counterfeiting and prevention of gray market imports.

Outside of work, Ms. Ide enjoys running, playing tennis, and spending time with her family.

Related Services

- › Legal Opinions and Counseling
- › Litigation
- › Trademarks
- › Training and Education

Education

- › B.A., Economics, 2002, Harvard University
cum laude
- › J.D., 2006, University of New Hampshire School of Law/Franklin Pierce Center for Intellectual Property
- › LL.M., 2006, University of New Hampshire School of Law/Franklin Pierce Center for Intellectual Property

Languages



- › English
- › Japanese

Admissions

- › New York Bar, 2007
- › District of Columbia Bar, 2008

Memberships

- › American Intellectual Property Law Association
- › International Trademark Association

Publications

- › A catalog disclosed at an event not open to the public may still be considered a prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), 8.8.2018
- › The USPTO cannot refuse registration of immoral or scandalous marks, 1.31.2018
- › CAFC Agrees with the Board that will.i.am Restriction in the Identification of Goods is Meaningless, 9.19.2017
- › Claims reciting generic, computer implementation of abstract idea found lacking “inventive concept”, 3.13.2017
- › Digital data is not considered “article” within the meaning of Section 337, 11.11.2015
- › In a design patent infringement case, 35 U.S.C. §289 authorizes the award of total profit from the article of manufacture bearing the patented design, 5.27.2015
- › Claimed inventions of a reissue patent must be clearly and unequivocally disclosed in the original specification , 12.17.2014
- › "Clear and Unmistakeable Evidence of a Disclaimer Found in Response to Enablement Rejection", CAFC Alert, April 24, 2013, 4.24.2013
- › "Designer's Connection With Paris Insufficient To Overcome a Section 2(e)(3) Refusal", CAFC Alert, October 24, 2012, 10.24.2012
- › "A Showing of Causal Nexus is Required Between Infringement and Alleged Harm to Patentee", CAFC Alert, May 23, 2012, 5.23.2012
- › "Means-Plus-Function Claims-'Algorithm' Can be Expressed in Any Understandable Terms Including in Prose", CAFC Alert, December 28, 2011, 12.28.2011
- › "How Big a Role Does the Specification Play in Construction of Patent Claims?", CAFC Alert, July 14, 2011, 7.14.2011
- › "Boston Scientific Corp. V. Johnson & Johnson, Cordis Corp. and Wyeth, Fed. Cir. June 7, 2011", CAFC Alert, June 15, 2011, 6.15.2011
- › “Deterring Trademark Infringement in Counterfeit Goods,” Germeshausen Center Newsletter, Winter/Spring 2006, 1.1.2006